1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SEAN P. GATES (Cal Bar No. 186247) sean@illovskygates.com ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP 155 North Lake Ave., Suite 800 Pasadena, CA 91101 Telephone: (626) 508-1715 EVA SCHUELLER (Cal Bar No. 237886) eschueller@illovskygates.com ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP 1611 Telegraph Ave., Ste. 806 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (415) 500-6640		
9 10 11	Attorneys for Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc. Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION	
15	BRAND LITTLE, and ROBIN BURNS,	Case No. 23-cv-01098-AGT	
16	Individually and on Behalf of All Others	Case No. 23-CV-01096-AG1	
17	Similarly Situated,	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED	
18	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS BLUE RIVER SEAFOOD,	
		INC., SAFE COAST SEAFOODS, LLC,	
19	V.	AND SAFECOAST SEAFOODS WASHINGTON, LLC	
20	PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCUREMENT, LLC;	WASHINGTON, LLC	
21	PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCESSING, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD FLEET, LLC; PACIFIC	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
,,	SEAFOOD DISTRIBUTION, LLC; PACIFIC		
22	SEAFOOD USA, LLC; DULCICH, INC.;		
23	PACIFIC SEAFOOD EUREKA, LLC; PACIFIC SEAFOOD CHARLESTON, LLC;		
24	PACIFIC SEAFOOD – WARRENTON, LLC;		
25	PACIFIC SEAFOOD – NEWPORT, LLC;		
	PACIFIC SEAFOOD – BROOKINGS, LLC, PACIFIC SEAFOOD – WESTPORT, LLC;		
26	PACIFIC SEAFOOD - WESTFORT, LLC, PACIFIC SURIMI - NEWPORT, LLC; BLUE		
27	RIVER SEAFOOD, INC.; SAFE COAST		
28	SEAFOODS, LLC; SAFE COAST SEAFOODS		
ا ت	WASHINGTON, LLC; OCEAN GOLD		

SEAFOODS, INC.; NOR-CAL SEAFOOD, INC.; AMERICAN SEAFOOD EXP, INC.; CALIFORNIA SHELLFISH COMPANY, INC.; ROBERT BUGATTO ENTERPRISES, INC.; ALASKA ICE SEAFOODS, INC.; LONG FISHERIES, INC.; CATIO FISHERIES, INC.; CATIO FISHERIES, LLC; SOUTHWIND FOODS, LLC; FISHERMEN'S CATCH, INC.; GLOBAL QUALITY FOODS, INC.; GLOBAL QUALITY SEAFOOD LLC; OCEAN KING FISH, INC.; BORNSTEIN SEAFOODS, INC.; ASTORIA PACIFIC SEAFOODS, LLC; and DOES 30-60,

Defendants.

1 | W 3 | U1 4 | are 5 | all 6 | de

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc., Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC (together "Blue River") answer Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint as follows. Unless expressly admitted, Blue River denies the allegations in each Paragraph. To the extent headings are deemed to be substantive allegations to which an answer is required, Blue River denies the allegations. To the extent footnotes, screenshots or other images, charts, graphs, or figures in the are deemed to be substantive allegations, then the response to the paragraph in which the footnote or figure is found is Blue River's response to the footnote, chart, graph or figure as well.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Blue River admits there currently are more than 1,000 independent commercial crabbers licensed to land Dungeness crab in California, coastal Washington, including Puget Sound, and Oregon. Blue River further admits that Plaintiff Brand Little is a crabber and that Plaintiff Robin Burns was married to a crabber, Kenny Burns. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 and accordingly denies them.
- 2. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 and accordingly denies them.
- 3. Blue River admits that the "ex vessel price" means the price off the boat. Blue River denies the other allegations in Paragraph 3.
 - 4. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.
 - 5. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 5.
 - 6. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 6.
 - 7. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 7.
 - 8. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 and each of its subparagraphs.
- 9. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 9 and accordingly denies them.
- 10. The allegations in Paragraph 10 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.
 - 11. To the extent an answer is required, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 11.

28

PARTIES

12. Blue River denies that it engaged in any "unfair and illegal anticompetitive activities." Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny other allegations in Paragraph 12 and on that basis denies them.

- 13. Blue River denies that it engaged in any "unfair and illegal anticompetitive activities." Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny other allegations in Paragraph 13 and on that basis denies them.
- 14. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 14 and accordingly denies them.
- 15. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 15 and accordingly denies them.
- 16. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 16 and accordingly denies them.
- 17. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 17 and accordingly denies them.
- 18. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 18 and accordingly denies them.
- 19. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 19 and accordingly denies them.
- 20. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 20 and accordingly denies them.
- 21. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 21 and accordingly denies them.
- 22. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 22 and accordingly denies them.
- 23. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 23 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

in Paragraph 36 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 37 and accordingly denies them.

26

27

28

37.

- 38. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 38 and accordingly denies them.
- 39. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 39 and accordingly denies them.
 - 40. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 40.
 - 41. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 41.
 - 42. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 42.
 - 43. Blue River admits the allegations in Paragraph 43.
- 44. Blue River admits that Pucci Foods purchased out of receivership the assets of Jessie's Ilwaco Fish Co. Inc., Alber Seafoods, Inc., and Alber Enterprises, Inc., including the name "Jessie's Ilwaco Fish Company," in late 2020, and that it has done business under the names Safe Coast, Safe Coast Seafood, Safe Coast Seafood WA, Jessie's Ilwaco Fish, Pucci Foods, and Pucci. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 44.
- 45. Blue River admits that it has paid for ex vessel purchases with checks from Pucci Foods. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the other allegations in Paragraph 45 and accordingly denies them.
- 46. Blue River admits that Max Boland serves as a General Manager of Safe Coast, that Mr. Boland previously worked for Alber Seafood, and that Blue River acquired the assets of Alber Seafood out of receivership at the end of 2020. Blue River denies Alber Seafood is its predecessor in interest and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 46.
- 47. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 47 and accordingly denies them.
- 48. Blue River admits it started purchasing crab through Safe Coast in Ilwaco, WA, in 2021. Blue River acks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 48 and accordingly denies them.
- 49. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 49 and accordingly denies them.

4	50.	Blue River admits that it made ex vessel purchases of Dungeness crab in Crescent City	
and San	Franc	isco, California through Safe Coast Seafood, LLC, starting in 2021. Blue River lacks	
knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 50 and			
accordir	ngly de	nies them.	

- 51. Blue River admits it made ex vessel purchases of Dungeness crab in Ilwaco, Nahcotta, Bay Center, Chinook, and Westport, Washington, and Newport and Astoria, Oregon through Safe Coast Seafood Washington, LLC, starting in 2021. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 51 and accordingly denies them.
- 52. The allegations in Paragraph 52 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 53. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 53 and accordingly denies them.
- 54. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 54 and accordingly denies them.
- 55. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 55 and accordingly denies them.
- 56. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 56 and accordingly denies them.
- 57. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 57 and accordingly denies them.
- 58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required.
- 59. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 59 and accordingly denies them.
- 60. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 60 and accordingly denies them.
- 61. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 61 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

27

28

75.

in Paragraph 75 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

27

28

89.

in Paragraph 89 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

27

28

103.

in Paragraph 103 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 117 and accordingly denies them.

10

1112

13

15

14

1617

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

over it but denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 132, including that Plaintiffs suffered antitrust injury.

- 133. The allegations in Paragraph 133 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits that venue in this District is appropriate.
 - 134. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 134.
 - 135. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 135.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

136. The allegations in Paragraph 136 contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Blue River admits that assignment of this case to the San Francisco Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California is proper.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 137. Blue River admits that Dungeness crab is a species of shellfish found in the Pacific Ocean and fished for human consumption. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 137.
- 138. Blue River admits that: Dungeness crab is enjoyed fresh by consumers along the West Coast, including during holidays, and that a portion of the catch is also exported live to Asian markets, particularly China, but also Korea, Vietnam and other countries. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 138.
- 139. Blue River admits commercial Dungeness crab fishing requires a permit, known in California as a "registration," which is tied to a specific vessel. Blue River further admits that the Dungeness crab fishery is what is known as a "derby" fishery because there are no quotas and crabbers are permitted to catch as many Dungeness crabs as they can during the season. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 139.
- 140. Blue River admits that the Dungeness crab season for most of the Pacific NW Areas has in the past opened on December 1, unless delayed. Pacific Seafood further admits that, unless

153. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 153 and accordingly denies them.

26

27

28

154. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 154 and accordingly denies them.

12 13

14

16

15

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

155.	5. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	to admit	or deny the	allegations
in Paragraph	ph 155 and accordingly denies them.			

- 156. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 156 and accordingly denies them.
- 157. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 157 and accordingly denies them.
- 158. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 158 and accordingly denies them.
- 159. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 159 and accordingly denies them.
- 160. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 160 and accordingly denies them.
- 161. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 161 and accordingly denies them.
- 162. Blue River admits that the ex vessel price received by a crabber for a load of crab can soon be widely known among other fisherman who may then use that information to negotiate with the same or different buyers to purchase their catches. Otherwise, Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 162.
- 163. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 163 and accordingly denies them.
 - 164. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 164.
- 165. Blue River denies that it entered into any unlawful agreement with other ex vessel buyers. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the other allegations in Paragraph 165 and accordingly denies them.
- 166. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 166 and accordingly denies them.

184.

1	167.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
2	in Paragraph	167 and accordingly denies them.
3		
4	168.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
5	in Paragraph	168 and accordingly denies them.
6	169.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
7	in Paragraph	169 and accordingly denies them.
8	170.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
9	in Paragraph	170 and accordingly denies them.
10	171.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 171.
11	172.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 172.
12	173.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 173.
13	174.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 174.
14	175.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 175.
15	176.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 176.
16	177.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 177.
17	178.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
18	in Paragraph	178 and accordingly denies them.
19	179.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
20	in Paragraph	179 and accordingly denies them.
21	180.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
22	in Paragraph	180 and accordingly denies them.
23	181.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
24	in Paragraph	181 and accordingly denies them.
25	182.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
26	in Paragraph	182 and accordingly denies them.
27	183.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 183.

Answer to Second Amd. Compl. Case No.: 23-cv-01098-AGT

Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 184.

1	185.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 185.
2	186.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
3	in Paragraph	186 and accordingly denies them.
4	187.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
5	in Paragraph	187 and accordingly denies them.
6	188.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
7	in Paragraph	188 and accordingly denies them.
8	189.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
9	in Paragraph	189 and accordingly denies them.
10	190.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
11	in Paragraph	190 and accordingly denies them.
12	191.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 191.
13	192.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
14	in Paragraph	192 and accordingly denies them.
15	193.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
16	in Paragraph	193 and accordingly denies them.
17	194.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 194.
18	195.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
19	in Paragraph	195 and accordingly denies them.
20	196.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
21	in Paragraph	196 and accordingly denies them.
22	197.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
23	in Paragraph	197 and accordingly denies them.
24	198.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 198.
25	199.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 199.
26	200.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
27	in Paragraph	200 and accordingly denies them.
28		

Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in

27

28

Paragraph 211 and accordingly denies them.

28

allegations in Paragraph 223.

Answer to Second Amd. Compl.

17

Case No.: 23-cv-01098-AGT

28

in Paragraph 235 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 249 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 264 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 278 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 293 and accordingly denies them.

1	294.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
2	in Paragraph	294 and accordingly denies them.	
3	295.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
4	in Paragraph	295 and accordingly denies them.	
5	296.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 296.	
6	297.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
7	in Paragraph	297 and accordingly denies them.	
8	298.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
9	in Paragraph	298 and accordingly denies them.	
10	299.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 299.	
11	300.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 300.	
12	301.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 301.	
13	302.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
14	in Paragraph 302 and accordingly denies them.		
15	303.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
16	in Paragraph	303 and accordingly denies them.	
17	304.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
18	in Paragraph	304 and accordingly denies them.	
19	305.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
20	in Paragraph	305 and accordingly denies them.	
21	306.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
22	in Paragraph	306 and accordingly denies them.	
23	307.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 307.	
24	308.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 309.	
25	309.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
26	in Paragraph 309 and accordingly denies them.		
27	310.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations	
28	in Paragraph	310 and accordingly denies them.	

in Paragraph 324 and accordingly denies them.

in Paragraph 339 and accordingly denies them.

27

1	340.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
2	in Paragraph	340 and accordingly denies them.
3		
4	341.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
5	in Paragraph	341 and accordingly denies them.
6	342.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
7	in Paragraph	342 and accordingly denies them.
8	343.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
9	in Paragraph	343 and accordingly denies them.
10	344.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
11	in Paragraph	344 and accordingly denies them.
12	345.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
13	in Paragraph	345 and accordingly denies them.
14	346.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
15	in Paragraph	346 and accordingly denies them.
16	347.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
17	in Paragraph	347 and accordingly denies them.
18	348.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
19	in Paragraph	348 and accordingly denies them.
20	349.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
21	in Paragraph	349 and accordingly denies them.
22	350.	Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations
23	in Paragraph	350 and accordingly denies them.
24	351.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 351.
25	352.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 352.
26	353.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 353.

Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations

27

28

367.

in Paragraph 367 and accordingly denies them.

Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 384.

27

28

384.

24

25

26

27

- 402. Blue River denies the existence of a cartel or a cartel price. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 402 and accordingly denies them.
 - 403. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 403.
- 404. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 404 and accordingly denies them.
- 405. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 405 and accordingly denies them.
 - 406. Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 406.
- 407. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 407 and accordingly denies them.
- 408. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 408 and accordingly denies them.
- 409. Blue River denies the existence of any cartel price. Otherwise, Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 409 and accordingly denies them.
- 410. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 410 and accordingly denies them.
- 411. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 411 and accordingly denies them.
- 412. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 412 and accordingly denies them.
- 413. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 413 and accordingly denies them.
- 414. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 414 and accordingly denies them.
- 415. Blue River lacks knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 415 and accordingly denies them.

denies that a class should be certified in this case.

Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 446.

28

446.

1	447.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 447.
2	448.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 448.
3	449.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 449.
4	450.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 450.
5	451.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 451.
6	452.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 452.
7		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
8	453.	Blue River incorporates its response to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
9	454.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 454.
10	455.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 455.
11	456.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 456.
12	457.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 457.
13	458.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 458.
14		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
15	459.	Blue River incorporates its responses to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
16	460.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 460.
17	461.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 461.
18	462.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 462.
19	463.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 463.
20	464.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 464.
21		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
22	465.	Blue River incorporates its responses to each Paragraph above as if fully herein.
23	466.	Blue River denies the allegations in Paragraph 466.
24		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
25	Blue I	River denies that plaintiffs are entitled certification of a class or any of the relief
26	requested in t	his section or elsewhere in the Complaint.
27		
28		

SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

Without admitting the existence of any contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade, and without assuming any burden of proof that it would not otherwise bear, Blue River asserts the following separate and additional defenses:

FIRST DEFENSE

(Lack of Standing)

Plaintiffs lack standing to assert their claims. Among other things, Plaintiffs have not sustained any injury, cognizable damage, or other harm as a result of conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint because, among other things, Plaintiffs did not sell Dungeness crab ex vessel to Blue River within the limitations period. Claims of putative class members also fail for lack of standing.

SECOND DEFENSE

(Lack of Antitrust Injury)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs suffered no antitrust injury (i.e., a type of injury that the antitrust laws were intended to remedy). Among other things, Plaintiffs have not sustained any injury, cognizable damage, or other harm as a result of conduct alleged in the Amended Complaint, or any alleged harm is too remote, because, among other things, Plaintiffs did not sell Dungeness crab ex vessel to Blue River within the limitations period, or to any ex vessel purchasers participating in the alleged conspiracy. In addition, Plaintiff Burns has never sold Dungeness crab ex vessel and does not have the legal right to assert claims that may have belonged to her deceased husband. Claims of putative class members also fail for lack of standing.

THIRD DEFENSE

(State Action Doctrine)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by immunity granted directly by state law or by the state action doctrine, *see Parker v. Brown*, 317 U.S. 341 (1943). Plaintiffs seek to impose antitrust liability on Blue River based on its participation in meetings that were actively supervised by state agencies pursuant to a clearly articulated and

affirmatively expressed state legislative policy to displace competition and allow fishermen and buyers to bargain and negotiate prices collectively, even though such conduct is immunized by state and federal law.

FOURTH DEFENSE

(First Amendment and Noerr-Pennington Doctrine)

The claims of the Plaintiffs and putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, insofar as they challenge the exercise of rights protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and by the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine. Plaintiffs allege that Blue River can be liable for antitrust violations because of its and/or other Defendants' participation in meetings, including meetings with other buyers or government officials, which as alleged concerned petitioning or lobbying activities that are immunized by state and federal law.

FIFTH DEFENSE

(Statute(s) of Limitations)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiffs seek to recover damages from January 1, 2016 to the present. However, Plaintiffs' claims are subject to a four-year statute of limitations, and those claims accrued at the time Plaintiffs were paid an ex vessel price that they claim was artificially suppressed as the result of an alleged conspiracy. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' claims based on ex vessel sales that occurred prior to March 13, 2019 – four years before the original Complaint was filed – are time-barred.

SIXTH DEFENSE

24 | (Laches/Waiver/Estoppel)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of laches, waiver, and/or estoppel. Plaintiffs delayed filing this lawsuit for an unreasonable and inexcusable length of time from the time Plaintiffs knew or reasonably should have known of their claims against Blue River. Plaintiffs failed to exercise diligence to discover their alleged claims, or

Plaintiffs had either actual or constructive knowledge of the facts they contend give rise to their alleged claims but failed to assert those claims within a reasonable time. Plaintiffs were aware of the claims they allege and intended to relinquish them. Blue River has suffered prejudice in its ability to defend this case due to Plaintiffs' failure to assert their alleged claims within a reasonable time. Plaintiffs are now estopped from asserting their claims now because of such delay and waiver.

6

7

3

4

5

SEVENTH DEFENSE

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

(Unclean Hands)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands, to the extent that Plaintiffs and putative class members have engaged in fraud or willful misconduct related to the subject matter of their claims or were significantly involved in illegal conduct, including illegal collective price-bargaining, price-fixing or other violations of the antitrust or unfair competition laws.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

(Lack of Damages/Mitigation)

Antitrust plaintiffs have a duty to mitigate their damages. Plaintiffs and putative class members have no damages or have failed to mitigate damages, if any. Plaintiffs' and putative class members' alleged damages, if any, were not caused by Blue River.

NINTH DEFENSE

(Due Process)

The class action claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that they seek to deprive Blue River of procedural and substantive safeguards, including, but not limited to, traditional defenses to liability, or duplicative recovery of alleged overcharges, in violation of the due process clause of the United States Constitution and analogous provisions of the California Constitution. This includes that, to the extent Plaintiffs and the proposed class seek relief on behalf of purported class members who have not suffered any injury or damages, the Second Amended Complaint and

each of its claims for relief therein violate Blue River's rights to due process under the United States Constitution.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

TENTH DEFENSE

(Acquiescence)

Plaintiffs' and putative class members' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the Plaintiffs' knowing acquiescence to the restraints of trade alleged in the Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs' claims are based on the allegation that Defendants delayed in offering a season opening price. Among other things, Plaintiffs and putative class members acquiesced in that alleged conduct, and their claims are barred, because Plaintiffs chose to remain in port, rather than exercise the option to fish on open ticket.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Blue River prays for relief as follows:

- 1. That the Court award Blue River judgment in its favor on all of Plaintiffs' claims and dismiss this action with prejudice.
- 2. That the Court award Blue River all other and further relief deemed just and reasonable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Blue River demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: February 21, 2025	ILLOVSKY GATES & CALIA LLP		
	SEAN GATES		
	EVA SCHUELLER		

/s/ Sean P. Gates
Sean P. Gates

Attorneys for Defendants Blue River Seafood, Inc. Safe Coast Seafoods, LLC, and Safe Coast Seafoods Washington, LLC